

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,588	12/20/2001	Frederic Andre	SANSYL002	1696	
7590 10/22/2003			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Patent Department			FUBARA, BLESSING M		
Sanofi-Synthelabo Inc. 9 Great Valley Parkway P O Box 3026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				TATER NUMBER	
			1615		
Malvern, PA 19355			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/019,588	ANDRE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blessing M. Fubara	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed						
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28.					
2a) <u></u> □	,—	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims	ha audiantina				
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9 and 11-34 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24, 34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· _	6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,11-23 and 25-33 is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/019,588

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of request for extension of time and response to election requirement mailed 03/26/03.

#### Election Requirement

Applicants elected with traverse zwitterionic surfactant, alfuzosin active agent and a matrix that is free of active agent and corresponding claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-23 and 25-33. The traversal is on the grounds that the different species are "simply different embodiments of the single invention concept." However, the requirement was for applicants to elect specific composition for prosecution and upon the allowance a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Examination is also extended to diltiazem as active agent.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 17, the term "other" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "other"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/019,588 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, and 11-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Heinicke et al. (US 5,834,024).

Heinicke discloses a diltiazem tablet formulation comprising a core that is a sphere, bead or seed of an inert ingredient and the core comprises a pharmaceutical (diltiazem), a binder, emulsifier or stabilizer and the core may further include a dispersing agent, glidant and/or surfactant (column 4, lines 27-49). In example 1, the core is made up of diltiazem, hydroxypropylcellulose and sugar spheres, the core coated with EUDRAGIT RL AND EUDRAGIT RS. Regarding the delayed nature of the instant formulation as recited in claim 1, the formulation of the prior art would also be a delayed release composition since the prior art teaches the same polymers and would thus inherently produce a timed pulse release of the active agent. The presence of the hydroxypropylcellulose separates diltiazem from the polymer coating layer. The teachings of Heinicke meet the limitations of the claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/019,588

Art Unit: 1615

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-23 and 25-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andrieu et al. (US 5,589,190) in view of Wilson et al. (US 6,403,597).

Andrieu discloses pharmaceutical composition comprising alfuzosin hydrochloride core that is coated methacrylic acid copolymer (EUDRAGIT) (abstract). The formulation comprises tablets that afford immediate or sustained release or microparticles that provide immediate release of alfuzosin (columns 1-3 and claims 1-10).

Andrieu fails to teach the presence of surfactant in the alfuzosin formulation. However, Wilson teaches a formulation that comprises alfuzosin and surfactant (abstract, column 5, lines 39-44, column 6, 1-5 and column 12, line 40). Wilson is thus relied upon for the teaching that alfuzosin formulations can have surfactants. There is no demonstration in applicants' specification that the specific recited surfactants provide unusual results; and one surfactant may be substituted for another surfactant without affecting novel characteristic of the invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare alfuzosin formulation as disclosed by Andrieu. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to incorporate surfactant in the alfuzosin formulation with the expectation that the presence of the surfactant would facilitate the dissolution of alfuzosin.

#### Observation:

"Compromising" should be ---comprising---

Why is "stepped" enclosed in quotes

Application/Control Number: 10/019,588 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara\_

Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600